Scott. 11-27—45,004—21-10-27.

Public (1928) Department.

Mes. SERIES.

G.O. No. 126

DATED 14 - 2 - 28.

[Despatch Abstract.]

L C. Q.— (Not answered S. Kanara Dt)

Arms Rules — Revolver licence — Mr. S.B. Bhide — Refusal by D. M.

Council Office.
19-12-27.

Starred Question.

Mr. K. B. Karant.

The Judicial Department.

CURRENT NO.
0056
PUBLIC

*1571. Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the application for license for a revolver dated 17-10-1927 of Mr. Sheshe Bhat Bhide, B.A., B.L., Landholder and First Grade Pleader Mangalore Town, to the District Magistrate of South Kanara and the order of the District Magistrate refusing the licensee, (a) and to state the reasons why the District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the protection of the applicant;

(b) what are the real reasons for the refusal;

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to call for the papers and satisfy themselves as to the necessity or otherwise of the application;

(d) whether the District Magistrate called for any reports from the officials in the Kasargod taluk (~~wherein are situated the two estates of which the said Mr. Bhide is a Receiver of Court~~) and if so to what effect have they reported, if not, why not;

(e) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate has issued a circular restricting the issue of licenses; and

(f) whether the circular will be laid on the table of the House?

VSD.

55/ 12-1 Cash 12-1-28

2

STARRED QUESTION

L.C.Question No.1571.*

Mr. K.R. Karant.

---

* 1571. Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the application for licence for a revolver dated 17-10-1927 of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide, B.A.,B.L., Landholder and First Grade Pleader, Mangalore Town, to the District Magte. of South Kanara and the order of the District Magistrate refusing the licence; and to state -

H 4+5 CP in linked file

P 6 CP

(a) the reasons why the District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the protection of the applicant;

(b) what are the real reasons for the refusal;

(a) and (b). The Government have no information.

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to call for the papers and satisfy themselves as to the necessity or otherwise of the application;

(c) No. The person referred to had a right of appeal to the Local Government if he was dissatisfied with the order passed by the District Magistrate. He has not appealed to Government.

vide proviso to rule 43(1)(b) of Indian Arms Rules 1924.

C

P 24

P.T.O.

3.

(d) whether the District Magistrate called for any reports from the officials in the Kasargod taluk and if so to what effect have they reported, if not, why not;

(e) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate has issued a circular restricting the issue of licences; and

(f) whether the circulars will be laid on the Table of the House?

(d)&(e). The Government have no information.

(f) No ~~No. Does not arise~~

MMJ-10

Starred question

34

Legislative Council Question.

Mr. K.R. Karant.

*1871. Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the application for licence for a revolver dated 17th October 1927 of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide, B.A., B.L., Landholder and First Grade Pleader, Mangalore Town, to the District Magistrate of South Kanara and the order of the District Magistrate refusing the licence; and to state:-

(a) the reasons why the District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the protection of the applicant;

(b) what are the real reasons for the refusal;

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to call for the papers and satisfy themselves as to the necessity or otherwise of the application;

(d) whether the District Magistrate called for any reports from the ~~subordinate~~ officials in the Kasargod taluk and if so to what effect have they reported, if not, why not;

(e) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate has issued a circular restricting the issue of licences; and

(f) whether the circulars will be laid on the Table of the House?

Public Department.

A N S W E R.

(a) and (b). The Government have no information.

(c) No. The person referred to had a right of appeal to the Local Government if he was dissatisfied with the order passed by the District Magistrate. He has not appealed to Government.

(d) and (e) The Government have no information.

(f) no (By Order)

Fort St. George,
12th January 1928.

17.1.28
Under Secretary to Government.

(The above answer has been approved by the Hon'ble Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur).

To the Council Office.

Dpd. by Van / Post / Messenger

Dpr's. initials.

Date 17-1-28

To be replied to for the answer despd on 17.1.28.

5

Starred Question.

L.C. Question No. 1571*

Mr. K.R. Karant.

Copied by 24/1

*1571. Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the application for licence for a revolver dated 17-10-27 of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide, B.A., B.L., Land-holder and First Grade Pleader Mangalore Town, to the District Magte. of South Kanara and the order of the District Magistrate refusing the licence; and to state -

(a) the reason why the District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the protection of the applicant;

(b) what are the real reasons for the refusal;

* pp 4-5 c.f. in the linked file

A copy of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide's application to the District Magistrat* dated 17-10-27 and the District Magistrate's order thereon is placed on the Table.

⊗ p.6 c.f. in the linked file

(a)&(b) The Government have no information.

Dept CA 25.1.28

(c) whether the Government wil be pleased to call for the papers and satisfy themselves as to the necessity or otherwise of the application;

(c) Mr. Bhide has appealed against the order of the D.M. The appeal is under the consideration of the Government.

(d) whether the District Magte. called for any reports from the officials in the Kasargod taluk and if so to what effect have they reported, if not, why not;

(d & e) The Government have no information.

(e) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate has issued a circular restricting the issue of licences; and

(f) whether the circulars will be laid on the Table of the House?

(f) No.

23.1.28

(Answer approved by H.M. C.3)

7

To be substituted for the answer despatched on 17th January 1928.

Legislative Council Question No. 1571 (Starred).

Mr. K. R. Karant.

1571. Will the Hon'ble the Law Member be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the application for licence for a revolver dated 17th October 1927 of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide, B.A.,B.L., Land-holder and First Grade Pleader, Mangalore Town, to the District Magistrate of South Kanara and the order of the District Magistrate refusing the licence: and to state —

(a) the reason why the District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the protection of the applicant:

(b) what are the real reasons for the refusal;

(c) whether the Government will be pleased to call for the papers and satisfy themselves as to the necessity or otherwise of the application;

(d) whether the District Magistrate called for any reports from the officials in the Kasargod taluk and if so, to what effect have they reported, if not, why not;

(e) whether it is a fact that the District Magistrate has issued a circular restricting the issue of licences; and

(f) whether the circulars will be laid on the Table of the House?

*****

Public Department.

A N S W E R.

A copy of Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide's application to the District Magistrate dated 17th October 1927 and the District Magistrate's order thereon is placed on the Table.

(a) and (b). The Government have no information.

(c). Mr. Bhide has appealed against the order of the District Magistrate. The appeal is under the consideration of the Government.

(d) and (e). The Government have no information.

(f)

(f). Mo.

Fort St. George,
Dated the 24th January 1928.

Leg-257-28
for Chief Secretary to Government.

The above answer has been approved by
The Hon'ble Khan Bahadur Muhammad Usman Sahib Bahadur.

V:A/24-1-28.

Before the District Magistrate of South Kanara.

S.B. Bhide ... ... Petitioner

Application under the Arms Act.

The Petitioner above named begs to state as follows:-

1. The Petitioner is the son of Venkatesha Bhat Bhide, Landholder and Firstgrade Pleader, residing at Pentlandpetah in Mangalore town.

2. That for some time past the Petitioner has been the Receiver to the family estate of Maipadi Kovilagam in Kasargod Taluk. Recently he has also been appointed Receiver to the estate of one Damodara Tantri (deceased) of Paniyal village. He is thus appointed Receiver by the Subordinate Judge of South Kanara in litigation pending.

3. That these two estates under his Receivership own properties in about 36 villages of Kasargod Taluk. Some of these are far in the interior of the district. For the purpose of making collection of rental he has to go from village to village from time to time with only an attendant with him. During these travels considerable amounts of money remain in his hands. Of late for various reasons the Petitioner has realised that it is not altogether safe to be thus moving without adequately providing himself with a weapon to protect his person and property in case of emergency.

4. The Petitioner is a Graduate in Law. He has also been recently elected a Municipal Councillor for the Kodiyalbail West Ward of the Mangalore Municipality. He is also a Member of the Board of Trustees appointed for the management of Shri Subramanya Temple in which capacity also he has to go to Subramanya on several occasions in the year.

5. Besides owing a compound and house T.S.666/53 of Ward IX Mangalore Town, the Petitioner is a junior member of a joint family

family owing properties in Mundaje, Laila and Beltangady villages of Uppinangadi Taluk. The Petitioner's joint - family also pays income-tax which is Rs.158-8-0 for the - current year.

The Petitioner therefore prays that permission may be accorded to him to purchase a six-chambered revolver and necessary cartridges and that a license may be issued to him to own and possess the same and go armed in the whole of the South Kanara District to protect himself and his - - property.

Mangalore
17-10-1927.

Sd,
Petitioner.

(True Copy)

L.Dis.8548-27

E.M. Gawne, Esquire, I.C.S.,
Dt. Magistrate of South Kanara.

Arms Act - Form XVI licence for a revolver - requested - orders passed.

Application dated 17-10-1927 of Mr.Shesha Bhat Bhide, B.A., B.L., Landholder and First Grade Pleader, Mangalore Town.

Endorsement N.Dis.C.389 M/27 dated 24-11-1927 of the Tahsildar Magistrate, Mangalore Taluk.

The District Magistrate is not satisfied that a revolver is required for the applicant's protection. Licence applied for is, therefore, refused.

S.Kanara Dt.Magistrate's Office,
Mangalore, 3rd December 1927.

(Sd) K. Colaco,
For Dt. Magistrate.

(True Copy)

11

Law Dept. III-S—2,500—23- 2-25

QUESTION.—No. (571) for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 23rd Jan 1928

Public

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Judicial Department.

The Question has been admitted.

ASSTT. SECY. TO GOVT. 6-JAN. 1928 CHIEF SECT.

Jrs 6-1-28.

G 6/1

U.O. No. , *dated*

To

The Jud Department.

Public Deptt

Please see the Legislative Council question in the C.F. (starred question) 10

2. For orders whether a reference should be made to the D.M. of South Kanara. The applicant has a right of appeal to Govt. vide proviso to rule 43(1)(b) of the Indian Arms Rules, 1924. No appeal has so far been received. A draft om is submitted for consideration

G H
page 24

MY. 7.1.28

ARN. 7/1/28

CS

7/1/28

I do not think that the Govt. should take action on such questions as this. There is a general rule that

Draft replies below

all 10-1-28

Mch. C3
11/1/28

With reference to the answer to clause (c) of the L.C.Q., it is submitted that an appeal from Mr. Shesha Bhat Bhide has since been -- received. ~~Draft endt. referring the petition to the D.M. of South Kanara for remarks is submitted in the linked file.~~ For orders whether a revised answer may be sent to the Council Office ~~or whether the hon. Member may be informed in answer to a supplementary question that an appeal has been received and is under the consideration of the Govt.~~ If so, the draft endt. is submitted for approval

24/1/28

CS

Mch. 23.1.28

all 23.1.28

CS

Mch. 24/1/28

13

Council Office

The Judicial department may be informed ~~as~~ that Rs. 15-7! has since been withdrawn by Mr. Karanth and that therefore it will not be entered in the Agenda. The answer received for the same is returned herewith.

+ Repeat bottom of file

Pub
11.2.28

11/2

To Pub (Judicial) ~~as~~

ASST. SECY. TO C.
11 FEB. 1928
CHIEF SECT.

~~as~~ No. 558/28-3LC
11-2-28

CURRENT NO.
070L
PUBLIC

Pub dept

~~These papers may now be recorded in Misc Go.~~ Though the question will not be answered in the Council these papers may therefore be recorded in Misc Go and indexed to facilitate tracing in future.

11/2/28

L.C.Q - (Not answered) S. Kanara Dt.
- Arms Rules - Revolver
Revolver licence - Mr S.B. Bhide - Refusal by D.M. ~~of Kanara~~

hy
14.2.28

gp 126 ms
14.2.28

Order No. 126 dy 14-2-28
Misc